

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,754	03/29/2006	Tatsuya Nakazawa	029471-0201	4000
22428 FOLEY AND	7590 01/15/2010 LARDNER LLP	0	EXAM	IINER
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			MASUR, PAUL H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2464	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/573,754	NAKAZAWA ET AL.				
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul Masur	2464				
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>						
(1) <u>Paul Masur</u> . (3)						
(2) <u>Annora Bell</u> . (4)						
Date of Interview: 12 January 2010	Time: <u>2 PM</u>					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)					
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
Claims discussed: 48-51, 53-59, 61-68						
Prior art documents discussed:						
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.						
/Paul Masur/ Examiner, Art Unit 2464	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)				

Application No. 10/573,754

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 1/12/2010, the examiner had an interview with the applicant's representative to discuss the case. During this conversation, the examiner presented several issues to the applicant's representative in an attempt to place the case in condition for allowance.

Specifically, the examiner presented issues pertaining to lack of antecedent basis in claims 53, 54, 55, and 61. The applicant's representative agreed to remedy these issues. In addition, the examiner presented intaitions in claims 48-51, 53-59, 61, and 68 as being indefinite. These limitations pertained to the error concealment processing and discarding of encoded data packets that were delayed or lost. The examiner noted that the limitations report potally indefinite as it was not readily clear how the error concealment processing or discarding of encoded packets were initiated. As a means to better define the invention in the claims, and overcome these indefinite matters, the examiner suggested that the applicant incorporate into the claims the matter in fig. 4, steps 4-9, and fig. 5, for the first embodiment of the invention. For the second embodiment of the invention, the examiner suggested the applicant incorporate into the claims the matter in fig. 8, steps 3-40, and fig. 9, steps 5-64.

Due to scheduling constraints and the examiner's need to take emergency leave, the examiner and applicant's representative were not able to come to a expeditious conclusion of these matters. The examiner sincerely appreciates the representative's patience and understanding through this process. The examiner invites the applicant's representative to contact him in the future, if the client so desires, to discuss these issues and any other issues further.